Review Article

iMedPub Journals
http://www.imedpub.com

DOI: 10.4172/2471-9935.100022

Polymer Sciences
ISSN 2471-9935

2017
Vol. 3 No. 1: 7

Biodegradable Polymers - A Review

Vasanthi K

Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University
Kakinada, India

*Corresponding author: Vasanthi K

korangivasanthi@gmail.com

Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University
Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India, 533004

Tel: 0884-2300913

Abstract

Biodegradable polymers are a recently developing field. The present audit article concentrates on Biodegradable polymers in pharmaceutical medication conveyance of remedial operators. Although polymers are used extensively as pharmaceutical packaging; this review is concerned with the use of polymers in the formulation of various dosage forms. Development of biodegradable Polymer frameworks offers the considerable favorable position of empowering either site-particular or systemic organization of pharmaceutical operators without the requirement for ensuing recovery of the conveyance framework.

Keywords: Biodegradable polymers; Enzymes

Received: June 03, 2017; Accepted: June 15, 2017; Published: June 25, 2017

Citation: Vasanthi K (2017) Biodegradable Polymers - A Review. Polym Sci. Vol. 3 No. 1:7

Introduction

Biodegradable polymers have been broadly utilized as a part of biomedical applications in light of their known biocompatibility and biodegradability. Degradable polymeric biomaterials are favored possibility for creating restorative gadgets, for example, interim prostheses, three dimensional permeable structures as platforms for tissue designing and as controlled/managed discharge drug conveyance vehicles. Biodegradable polymers can be characterized as polymers that are degradable *in vivo*, either enzymatic associate or nonenzymatically, to deliver biocompatible or nontoxic by-items. These polymers can be metabolized and discharged by means of typical physiological pathways. They are characterized into three gatherings, in particular common, semi manufactured, and engineered, taking into account their sources. Case of regularly utilized characteristic biodegradable polymers are gelatin, alginate, Biodegradable polymers are a recently rising field [1]. Biodegradable polymers hold their properties for a restricted timeframe *in vivo* and after that slowly debase into materials that can get to be dissolvable or metabolized and discharged from the body. With a specific end goal to be utilized for as a part of vivo applications the polymers utilized for such frameworks must have ideal properties for biocompatibility, process ability, sterilization capability, and shelf life. For each application and drug, one must evaluate the properties of the system (drug and particle) and determine whether or not it is the optimal formulation for a given drug delivery application [2].

Advantage of Biodegradable Polymers

- It gives a medication at a steady controlled rate owes a recommended timeframe.
- The polymer transporter would debase into nontoxic, absorbable subunits which would be in this way metabolized.
- The framework would be biocompatible would not show measurement dumping whenever and polymer would hold its qualities until after exhaustion of the medication.
- Degradable framework disposes of the need for surgical expulsion of embedded gadget taking after exhaustion of a medication.
- They are separated into naturally worthy particles that are metabolized and expelled from the body by means of ordinary metabolic pathways [1].

Disadvantages

Sometimes the degradable polymers show significant measurement dumping sooner or later after implantations.

- Sometimes the degradable polymers show significant measurement dumping sooner or later after implantations.
- A "burst impact" or high introductory medication discharge not long after organization is commonplace of generally framework.
- Degradable frameworks which are regulated by infusion of a particulate structure are non-retrievable [3-10].

Factors Affecting Biodegradation

Effect of polymer structure

Common macromolecules are by and large corrupted in

organic frameworks by hydrolysis took after by oxidation. It is not astonishing, then, that a large portion of the reported engineered biodegradable polymers contain hydrolysable linkages along the polymer chain; for instance, amide enamine, ester, urea, and urethane linkages are helpless to biodegradation by microorganisms and hydrolytic proteins. Since most compound catalyzed responses happen in fluid media, the hydrophilic-hydrophobic Character of manufactured polymers incredibly influences their biodegradability. A polymer containing both hydrophobic and hydrophilic portions appears to have a higher biodegradability than those polymers containing either hydrophobic or hydrophilic structures only [11].

Effect of polymer morphology

Synthetic polymers generally have short repeating units, and this regularity enhances crystallization, making the hydrolysable groups inaccessible to enzymes. It was reasoned that synthetic polymers with long repeating units would be less likely to crystallize and thus might be biodegradable; indeed, a series of poly (amide-urethane) were found to be readily degraded by subtilisin [12]. Selective compound corruption of semicrystalline polymer tests demonstrates certain trademark changes [13-21]. During debasement, the crystallinity of the specimen increments quickly at in the first place, then levels off to a much slower rate as the crystallinity approaches 100%. This is credited to the inevitable vanishing of the shapeless parts of the specimen. The size, shape and number of the crystallites all pronouncedly affect the chain versatility of the undefined districts and along these lines influence the rate of the debasement. The horizontal size of the crystallites strongly affects the rate of corruption in light of the fact that the edge of the precious stone is the place debasement of the crystalline material happens, because of the gem pressing. A littler sidelong crystallite size yields a higher crystallite edge surface in the mass polymer. Before the immersion of the compound dynamic locales, the rate is reliant on accessible substrate; subsequently, a littler parallel crystallite size results in a higher rate of corruption.

Effect of radiation and chemical treatments

Photolysis with UV light and the Ɣ-beam illumination of polymers create radicals and/or particles that frequently prompt cleavage and cross connecting. Oxidation likewise happens, confusing the circumstance, since presentation to light is from time to time without oxygen. For the most part this progressions the material's vulnerability to biodegradation. At first, one expects the watched rate of debasement to increment until the majority of the divided polymer is expended and a slower rate of corruption should follow for the cross-linked portion of the polymer. A study of the effects of UV irradiation on hydrolysable polymers confirmed this [22]. As expected, Ɣ -ray irradiation greatly affects the rate of *in vitro* degradation of polyesters. Photo oxidation of polyalkenes promotes (slightly in most cases) the biodegradation [23,24].

Effect of molecular weight

There have been many studies on the effects of molecular weight on biodegradation processes. Microorganisms produce both exoenzymes [degrading polymers from terminal groups (inwards)] and endoenzymes (degrading polymers randomly along the chain). A large molecular effect on the rate of degradation in the ease of exoenzymes and a relatively small molecular weight effect in the case of endo-enzymes. Low molecular weight hydrocarbons, however, can be degraded by microbes. They are taken in by microbial cells, 'activated' by attachment to coenzyme-A, and converted to cellular metabolites within the microbial cell. Photo degradation or chemical degradation may decrease molecular weight to the point that microbial attack can proceed, however. Insertion of carbon monoxide into the chain permits chain scission by a Norrish-type reaction in a photochemical process [25].

Other factors includes

- Presence of unexpected units or chain defects
- Configuration structure
- Molecular-weight distribution
- Processing conditions
- Annealing
- Sterilization process
- Storage history
- Shape
- Site of implantation
- Adsorbed and absorbed compounds (water, lipids, ions, etc.)
- Physicochemical factors (ion exchange, ionic strength, and pH)
- Physical factors (shape and size changes, variations of diffusion
- Coefficients, mechanical stresses, stress-and solvent-induced cracking, etc.)
- Mechanism of hydrolysis (enzymes versus water).

Need for Biodegradable Polymers

- It was perceived that the surgical expulsion of a medication exhausted conveyance framework was troublesome yet leaving non-biodegradable remote materials in the body for an inconclusive time period created poisonous quality issue.
- While dissemination controlled discharge is a fabulous method for accomplishing controlled medication conveyance, it is restricted by the polymer porousness and the qualities of a medication expand, its dispersion coefficient diminish.
- There is no requirement for a brief moment surgery for evacuation of Polymers.
- Avoid stress protecting Offer gigantic potential as the premise for controlled medication conveyance.

Biodegradation

This process happens in two stages.

The first is the discontinuity of the polymers into lower sub-atomic mass species by method for either abiotic responses, i.e. oxidation, photograph debasement or hydrolysis, or biotic responses, i.e. corruption by microorganisms.

This is trailed by bio osmosis of the polymer pieces by microorganisms and their mineralization. Biodegradability depends on the beginning of the polymer as well as on its substance structure and the ecological corrupting conditions. Instruments and estimation strategies of polymer biodegradation have been explored [26]. The mechanical conduct of biodegradable materials relies on upon their concoction structure [27,28]. The creation, the capacity and handling characteristics [29,30]. The maturing and the application conditions for as long as couple of decades, [31] biodegradable polymers have been connected as bearers for controlled conveyance of low sub-atomic weight drugs and in addition bioactive proteins. Drugs figured with these polymers can be discharged in a controlled way, by which the medication focus in the objective site is kept up inside the helpful window. The discharge rates of the medications from biodegradable polymers can be controlled. The primary revelation of the utilization of a manufactured biodegradable polymer for the systemic conveyance of a helpful specialist was made in 1970 by Yolles and Sartori. Since that time, a significant group of writing on medication discharge from bioerodible polymers has been produced as consideration swung to uniquely blended biodegradable polymers.

Mechanism of Drug Release from Biodegradable Polymer

Polymeric medicine release happens in one of two ways: deterioration or dispersal. Release from biodegradable polymers is routinely spoken to by a blend of both segments, which depends on upon the relative rates of deterioration and scattering.

Deterioration is portrayed as the physical breaking down of a polymer as a result of its debasement [3]. The crumbling of water insoluble polymers begins with defilement by chain scission by method for hydrolysis. This dynamic corruption changes the structure of the polymer matrix through the plan of pores. This allows the landing of the corruption things, i.e. oligomers/ monomers, which in the end prompts mass adversity, or the breaking down of the polymer [4,5]. Other biodegradable and typical polymers are enzymatically degradable, which is in like manner a kind of chain scission. As water particles break substance bonds along the polymer chain, the physical uprightness of the polymer corrupts and allows drug to be released.

There are two possible segments of breaking down.

- When water is constrained to the surface of the system, chain scission will happen exactly at first look and solution will be released as the polymer network breaks down. For this circumstance the debasement rate is speedier than the passage of water into the polymer mass, this is called surface crumbling. The cross section degrades and medicine is released just from the surface, while within districts stay unaltered. Exactly when a polymer's structure and course of action are heterogeneous, surface breaking down can happen unevenly.
- If the scattering of water into the polymer framework is snappier than the rate of hydrolysis then deterioration will happen all through the entire material, which is furthermore called mass breaking down. The grid is corrupted and pharmaceutical is released from the entire volume of the structure. As the polymer system is broken down, medication molecules are freed to be released by method for dispersal moreover. Overall, the breaking down of a polymer system *in vivo* is some mix of these instruments. Debasement by surface breaking down alone may be favored at times, in light of the fact that the debasement rate can be controlled through the surface zone of the matrix [6].

By virtue of spread controlled release, the drug's center point in the polymer cross section is the principle catalyst for the iotas to diffuse into the enveloping medium. The scattering of a medicine particle through the polymer lattice is dependent upon the dissolvability of the medication in the polymer network and the encompassing medium, the dispersion coefficient of the medication atom, the sub-atomic weight of the medication, its focus all through the polymer framework, and the separation important for dissemination. Medication can be either appropriated equally all through the grid or exemplified as a repository [7]. The discharge rate for the supply framework likewise relies on upon the film thickness and region. Basically, repository frameworks regularly have a slack period after arrangement *in vivo*, rather than the burst discharge present for most different frameworks. Nonetheless, these frameworks should be precisely built to anticipate untimely film break that may discharge a harmful measure of medication into the body.

The medication's atomic weight, solvency in organic liquids and its miscibility in the polymer lattice will impact the medication's diffusivity from the framework and the focus profile of the medication all through the network. Since polymeric conveyance frameworks are once in a while homogenous all through the whole grid, the medications diffusivity, and consequently discharge rate, can change with the neighborhood polymer organization and structure. As often as possible, dispersion controlled discharge is vital in the early phases of medication discharge. For a considerable lot of the polymeric conveyance frameworks there is some convergence of medication atoms captured close and adsorbed onto, the surface of the lattice. Upon drenching into a medium, the arrival of these medication atoms is controlled by the rate of dispersion of the medication into the encompassing environment. In a few geometries, this can bring about an issue alluded to as the "burst impact," that can possibly discharge a dangerous measure of medication into the body inside the initial 24 hours [8]. This burst discharge is a piece of what is as often as possible alluded to as a biphasic discharge profile [9]. During the principal stage, the burst discharge, the basic uprightness is

kept up. The second stage or the straight discharge is portrayed by pore arrangement, molecule disfigurement and combination [10].

Natural Biodegradable Polymers as Drug Delivery Systems

The use of natural biodegradable polymers to deliver drugs continues to be an area of active research despite the advent of synthetic biodegradable polymers [32-39]. The desirable characteristics of polymer systems used for drug delivery, whether natural or synthetic, are minimal effect on biological systems after introduction into the body; *in vivo* degradation at a well-defined rate to nontoxic and readily excreted degradation products; absence of toxic endogenous impurities or residual chemicals used in their preparation, e.g., cross linking agents. Natural polymers remain attractive primarily because they are natural products of living organisms, readily available, relatively inexpensive, and capable of a multitude of chemical modifications. The pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical considerations have been described by Tomlinson and Burger [40] using albumin as an example, and are as follows:

1. Purity of albumin
2. Route of preparation
3. Size
4. Drug incorporation
5. Payload
6. Drug release (*in vitro* and *in vivo*)
7. Drug stability
8. Particle stability (*in vitro* and *in vivo*)
9. Effect of storage
10. Surface properties
11. Presentation (e.g., free-flowing, freeze-dried powder or emulsified suspension)
12. Antigenicity
13. Adsorption of plasma proteins
14. Carrier fate and toxicity
15. Drug and carrier bio kinetics.

A majority of investigations of natural polymers as matrices in drug delivery systems have centered on proteins (e.g., collagen, gelatin, and albumin) and polysaccharides (e. g., starch, dextran, insulin, cellulose, and hyaluronic acid) [41]. Most protein-based delivery systems have been formulated as solid cross-linked microspheres in which the drug is dispersed throughout the polymer matrix, although one recent report describes the preparation of an enzyme-digestible disc made from an albumin-cross linked hydrogel [42]. The formulation of proteins into microspheres has been dictated to a great extent by considerations related to their mechanical strength, dimensional and conformational stability in biological fluids and conditions under which processing is possible.

Collagen, because of its unique structural properties, has been fabricated into a wide variety of forms including cross-linked films, meshes, fibers, and sponges. Solid ocular inserts have also been prepared from purified animal tissues.

Polysaccharides for drug delivery systems have been prepared by a variety of routes.

Starch is usually derivatized by the introduction of acrylic groups, prior to polymerization and manufacture into microspheres. Poly (acryl) starch microspheres, as they are referred to, an example of a semi synthetic polymer system. Their extensive use as drug carriers has been the subject of a recent review [43] and continues to be an area of active research [44-46].

In addition to starch, dextran, inulin, and cellulose have frequently been used as drug carriers by covalently bonding the drugs, antibiotics, and enzymes to reactive derivatives of available functional groups [47-50]. Questions still remain about the immunological properties of these polysaccharide derivatives and their fate in the body.

Hyaluronic acid is a linear polysaccharide found in the highest concentrations in soft connective tissues where it fills an important structural role in the organization of the extracellular matrix [51,52]. It has been used in ophthalmic preparations to enhance ocular absorption of timolol; a beta blocker used for the treatment of glaucoma [53] and in a viscoelastic tears formulation for conjunctivitis [54].

The covalent binding of Adriamycin and daunomycin to sodium hyaluronate to produce water-soluble conjugates was recently reported partially deacetylated chitin, a cellulose-like biopolymer consisting predominantly of di-acetyl-D-glucosamine chains, in the form of films or cross linked hydrogels has been used for the delivery of drugs. [55,56]. The suitability of chitin as a vehicle for the sustained release of drugs was examined using indomethacin and papaverine hydrochloride as model drugs [57] *in vitro* studies showed that over 80% of the indomethacin was released within 7 hr., whereas papaverine hydrochloride dissolved almost immediately.

Synthetic Biodegradable Polymers

There are different manufactured biodegradable polymers as of now being explored as medication conveyance frameworks or as platforms for tissue designing [58]. The approach of biodegradable polymers has altogether affected the advancement and fast development of different advances in cutting edge drug. Biodegradable polymers are for the most part utilized where the transient presence of materials is required and they discover applications as sutures, frameworks for tissue recovery, tissue glues, hemostats, and transient obstructions for tissue bond, and also medicate conveyance frameworks. Each of these applications requests materials with special physical, substance, organic, and biomechanical properties to give proficient treatment. Thusly, an extensive variety of degradable

polymers, both regular and engineered, have been examined for these applications. However regular polymer synthesis shifting from source to source.

Applications

Dental medicine

Chitin/chitosan has been recognized to accelerate wound healing to attain an aesthetically valid skin surface, and to prevent excess scar formation. In dental medicine, chitin/chitosan is also applied as a dressing for oral mucous wound and a tampon following radical treatment of maxillary sinusitis. Furthermore, it is being investigated as an absorbing membrane for periodoental surgery. Chitin/chitosan has a variety of biological activities and advertised as a healthy food that is effective for improvement and/or care of various disorders, arthritis, cancer, diabetes, hepatitis, etc. In Japan, it is renowned since a three-year old Russian boy whose skin was burnt 90% in total area [59].

For oral drug delivery: Preliminary study on film dosage form

The potential of chitosan films containing diazepam as an oral drug delivery was investigated in rabbits. The results indicated that a film composed of a 1:0.5 drug-chitosan mixture might be an effective dosage form that is equivalent to the commercial tablet dosage forms. The ability of chitosan to form films may permit its use in the formulation of film dosage forms, as an alternative to pharmaceutical tablets. The pH sensitivity, coupled with the reactivity of the primary amine groups, make chitosan a unique polymer for oral drug delivery applications.

Polymethacrylates for pharmaceutical purposes

Neutral poly (meth) acrylates are pharmacologically inactive. Good compatibility with the skin and mucous membranes prompted their use for wound sprays and ointment bases. Cross-linked copolymers based on methacrylic acid serve as ion exchangers for adsorption of active ingredients in the manufacture of sustained-release formulations in the form of tablets and suspensions. For sustained release, active ingredients can also be embedded in water-insoluble polymers, e.g. by compression to tablets together with polymer powders or by extrusion at the softening temperatures of the polymers between 120 and 200°C. Probably the most important role of poly (meth) acrylates in pharmaceutical manufacture is that of special excipients for coating oral dosage forms and for ensuring controlled release of the active ingredient. Coating of tablets, sugar-coated products, capsules, granules, pellets, crystals and other drug-loaded cores serves to ensure their physical and chemical stability, to enhance patient compliance and to further improve their therapeutic efficacy. Acknowledging the fact that the efficiency of a pharmaceutical dosage form depends not only on the active ingredients it contains but also, and critically so, on the formulation and processing technique, scientists and engineers alike have devoted increasing attention to these parameters in recent years.

Pharmaceutical applications

The polymers have several applications in various dosage forms they have good biocompatibility and low toxicity properties in both conventional excipients applications as well as in novel applications [60].

Polymers with the pharmacological effects and polymeric blood substitutes

DIVEMA, copolymer of divinyl ether-maleic anhydride (Florjanczyk and Penczek, Papamatheakis et al.) is a case of such compound with antitumor and antiviral properties. Its activity presumably incorporates the incitement of the glycoprotein generation, which stifles viral RNA translocation in cells and division of growth cells. Besides, the polymers are regularly connected as swelling, unwinding and sliding specialists. Methylcellulose taken orally is not consumed from the nutritious tract. Theseoligopeptides are gotten by trading of some amino acids in Gonadoliberin particle and afterward are utilized to treat prostate and bosom growths orendometriosis.

Macromolecular prodrugs

A prodrug is an altered remedial operator, which is metabolized into dynamic forerunner barbaric body (Janicki et al.). Macromolecular prodrugs are mostly utilized as a part of the disease treatment. For instance, 5-fluorouracil can be connected locally or orally in the treatment of the nutritious tract, urinary bladder and prostate organ malignancies.

Polymers in the technology of prolonged release drug formulations

Macromolecules have additionally found the application in the innovation of delayed discharge drug plans. The assimilation of the restorative operator utilizing delayed discharge drug structures can be lessened by covering, joining, complexation or holding on the ionites.

In the therapeutic systems technology

The polymers utilized as a part of the helpful frameworks are the medication shapes that are dosing or discharging drug in the accurate time with the controlled rate (Janicki et al.; Muller and Hildebrand). They are intended to guarantee consistent grouping of the helpful specialist in the body Therapeutic frameworks are usually utilized as a part of prescription because of their high productivity in contrast with the routine medication shapes and delayed discharge tablets. Considering the method for organization and the area of the medication ingestion there are: oral, transdermal, visual, intra-uterine, implantation and imbuement restorative generally used to treat stenocardia, aggravations, movement affliction, and incessant hypertensive illness, in the hormonal and hostile to nicotinic treatments. The curiosity includes the ultrasonic transdermal restorative frameworks and the microelectronics transdermal remedial frameworks, where the medication is discharged from the polymer

bearer under the recurrence electric field impact (Prausnitz et al.; Santus and Baker; Simonin). In the visual restorative frameworks, the medication is discharged to the lachrymal liquid through the layer. The intra-uterine remedial frameworks are for the most part utilized as a part of the contraception, though implantation helpful frameworks are generally connected under the skin. For their situation, the medication discharge is brought out through the moderate dissemination from the polymeric frameworks to the tissue.

Medical Applications

Wound management

- Sutures
- Staples
- Clips
- Adhesives
- Surgical cross sections.

Orthopedic devices

- Pins
- Rods
- Screws
- Tacks
- Ligaments.

Dental applications

- Guided tissue regeneration Membrane
- Void filler following tooth extraction.

Cardiovascular applications

- Stents.

Intestinal applications

- Anastomosis rings.

Drug delivery system

- Tissue engineering.

Conclusion

Biodegradable polymers have proven their potential for the development of new, advanced and efficient drug delivery system. They are capable of delivering a wide range of bioactive materials. Today the stress is on patient compliance and to achieve this objective there is spurt in development of NDDS. Natural polymers, particularly in the form of microspheres, have an important role in the controlled release of drugs and their targeting to selective sites. In the area of drug targeting, there needs to be continuing emphasis on understanding the interaction between polymeric particles and biological systems such as blood components, cell types (e. g., phagocytes), and cell receptors. Biodegradable polymers have gotten significantly more consideration in the most recent decades due their potential applications in the fields identified with natural insurance and the support of physical wellbeing. To enhance the properties of biodegradable polymers, considerable measures of techniques have been created, for example, arbitrary and piece copolymerization or joining. These strategies enhance both the biodegradation rate and the mechanical properties of the last items.

References

1 Joshi JR, Patel RP (2012) Role of biodegradable polymers in drug delivery. Int J Curr Pharm Res 4: 74-81.

2 Meredith L (2005) Hans Synthesis, characterization, and application of biodegradable polymeric prodrugs micelles for long-term drug delivery Doctor of Philosophy page no 8 A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Drexel University.

3 Edlund U, Albertsson AC (2002) Degradable polymer microspheres for controlled drug delivery. InDegradable aliphatic polyesters 67-112.

4 Göpferich A (1996) Mechanisms of polymer degradation and erosion. Biomaterials 17: 103-14.

5 Göpferich A (1997) Polymer bulk erosion. Macromolecules 30: 2598-2604.

6 Maeda M, Moriuchi S, Sano A, Yoshimine T (2002) New drug delivery system for water-soluble drugs using silicone and its usefulness for local treatment: application of GCV-silicone to GCV/HSV-tk gene therapy for brain tumor. Journal of controlled release 84: 15-25.

7 Kim JJ, Park K (2001) Modulated insulin delivery from glucose-sensitive hydrogel dosage forms. Journal of Controlled Release 77: 39-47.

8 Huang X, Brazel CS (2001) On the importance and mechanisms of burst release in matrix-controlled drug delivery systems. Journal of controlled release 73: 121-136.

9 Fonseca C, Simoes S, Gaspar R (2002) Paclitaxel-loaded PLGA nanoparticles: preparation, physicochemical characterization and in vitro anti-tumoral activity. Journal of Controlled Release 83: 273-286.

10 Panyam J, Dali MM, Sahoo SK, Ma W, Chakravarthi SS, et al. (2003) Polymer degradation and in vitro release of a model protein from poly (D, L-lactide-co-glycolide) nano-and microparticles. Journal of Controlled Release 92: 173-187.

11 Chandra RU, Rustgi R (1998) Biodegradable polymers. Progress in polymer science 23: 1273-1335.

12 Huang SJ, Bitritto M, Leong KW, Pavlisko J, Roby M (1978) JR Knox In: DL Allara and WL Hawkins (eds.) Adv Chem Ser 169: 205.

13 Varnell WD, Harnson IR, Kosnioski SJ (1981) J Polym Sci Polym Phys Ed 10: 1237-1263.

14 Blundell DJ, Keller A, Ward IM (1966) J Polym Sci Polym Phys Ed 4: 781.

15 Khambatta FB, Warner F, Russell T, Stein RS (1976) J Polym Sci Polym Phys Ed 14: 1391.

16 Patel GN, Keller A (1975) J Polym Sci Polym Phys Ed 13: 323.

17 Duong DT, Bell JP (1975) J Polym Sci Polym Phys Ed 13: 765.

18 Mandels M, Reese ET (1957) Induction of cellulase in Trichoderma viride as influenced by carbon sources and metals. Journal of Bacteriology 73: 269.

19 Rosenberg E, Zuckerberg A, Rubinovitz C, Gutnick DL (1979) Emulsifier of Arthrobacter RAG-1: isolation and emulsifying properties. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 37: 402-408.

20 Williams T, Blundell DJ, Keller A, Ward IM (1968) Gel permeation chromatographic studies of the degradation of polyethylene with fuming nitric acid. I. Single crystals. Journal of Polymer Science Part B: Polymer Physics 6: 1613-1619.

21 Udagawa Y (1971) J Polym Sci Part A2 9: 1807.

22 Huang SJ, Bryne C, Palisko JA (1980) ACS Symp Ser 121: 299.173.

23 Albertsson AC (1985) Preprints of the Int Symp on Characterization and Analysis of Polymers 477.

24 Albertsson AC, Anderson SO, Karlsson, S (1987) Polym Degrad Stab 18: 73.

25 Proc. of Society of the Plastics Industry Symp on Degradable Plastics, Washington, DC, June1987.

26 Lucas N, Bienaime C, Belloy C, Queneudec M, Silvestre F, et al. (2008) Polymer biodegradation: Mechanisms and estimation techniques–A review. Chemosphere 73: 429-442.

27 Willett JL (1994) Mechanical properties of LDPE/granular starch composites. Journal of Applied Polymer Science 54: 1685-1695.

28 Cho JW, Woo KS, Chun BC, Park JS (2001) Ultraviolet reflective and mechanical properties of polyethylene mulching films. European polymer journal 37: 1227-1232.

29 Jasberg B, Swanson C, Nelsen T, Doane W (1992) Mixing polyethylene-poly (ethylene-co-acrylic acid) copolymer-starch formulations for blown films. ARS reprints collection.

30 Lawton JW (1996) Effect of starch type on the properties of starch containing films. Carbohydrate Polymers 29: 203-208.

31 Briassoulis D (2006) Mechanical behaviour of biodegradable agricultural films under real fieldconditions. Polym Deg Stab 91: 1256-1272.

32 Rosen HB, Kohn J, Leong K, Langer R (1988) In Controlled Release Systems: Fabrication Technology, CRC Press, Boca Raton.

33 Illum L, Davis SS (1987) Polymers in Controlled Drug Delivery:Wright, Bristol.

34 Langer R, Peppas N (1983) J. Macromol ScL 23: 61.

35 Juni K, Nakano M (1986) Crit Rev Therapeut Drug Carrier Syst 209.

36 Holland SJ, Tighe BJ, Gould PL (1986) J Control Rei 1: 155

37 Mills SN, Davis SS (1987) Polymers. In: Illum L, Davis SS (eds.) Controlled Drug Delivery: Wright, Bristol.

38 Juni K, Nakano M (1987) Polymers. In: Illum L, Davis SS (eds.) Controlled Drug Delivery: Wright, Bristol.

39 MaUlding HV (1987) J Control ReI 167 40.

40 Tomlinson E, Burger JJ (1987) Polymers. In: Illum L, Davis SS (eds.) Controlled Drug Delivery: Wright, Bristol.

41 Heller J (1987) In Hydrogels in Medicine and Pharmacy, In: Peppas NA (ed.) Properties and Applications, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 3.

42 Park K (1988), Biomaterials 435.

43 Edman P, Artursson P, Laakso T, Sjoholm I (1987) Polymers. In: Illum L, Davis SS (eds.) Controlled Drug Delivery: Wright, Bristol

44 Laakso T, Edman P, Brunk U (1988) J Pharm Sci lL, 138.

45 Laakso T, Stjarnkvist P, Sjoholm I (1987) J. Pharm. Sci 76: 134.

46 Baille AJ, Coombs GH, Dolan TF, Hunter CA (1987) J Pharm: Pharmacol 39: 17.

47 Schacht E (1987) Polymers. In: Illum L, Davis SS (eds.) Controlled Drug Delivery: Wright, Bristol.

48 Schacht E, Vermeersch J, Vandoorne F, Vercaute['en, R (1985) J Control ReI 245.

49 Molteni L (1982) Optimization of Drug Delivery. In: Bundgaard H, Hansen AB, Kofod H (eds.): Unksgaard, Copenhagen.

50 Sjoholm 1, Edman P (1984) In Microspheres and Drug. In: Davis SS, Ulum L, McVie JG, Tomlinson E (eds.) Therapy: Pharmaceutical, Immunological and Medical Aspects: Elsevier, Amsterdam.

51 Laurent TC (1987) ActaOtolaryngol. Suppl. (Stockholm) 442: 7

52 Mason RM (1981) Prog. Clin BioI Res 54: 87

53 Chang S C, Chien DS, Bundgaard H, Lee VH (1989) Exp Eye Res 46: 59

54 Limberg MB, McCaa C, Kissling GE, Kaufman HE (1987) Am J Ophthalmol 103: 194

55 Pangburn SH, Trescony PV, Heller J (1984) Seminaron Chitin, Chitosan and Related Enzymes (Zikakis JP (ed.): Academic Press. Orlando.

56 Yamaguchi R, Hirano S, Arai Y, Ito T (1977) Agric Bioi Chern 42: 1981

57 Miyazaki S, Ishii K, Nadai T (1981) Chern Pharm Bull 29: 3067

58 http://www.scribd.com/doc/46793216/Polymers-as-Bio-Materials

59 Dunn ET, Grandmaison EW, Goosen MFA. Applications and properties of chitosan.

60 http://www.intechopen.com/books/innovations-in-biotechnology/polymers-in-thepharmaceutical-applicationsnatural-and-bioactive-initiators-and-catalysts-in-the-system